BP=Best-practice contribution №   :

Assessor's code:

Abstract/s taken over on:

Please, assess whether the following criteria are fulfilled: *The criterion is met (2) / is partially met (1) / is not met (0)*

The criterion is met (2) / partially met (1) / not met (0)	Abstract №	Abstract №	Abstract №
Topic: The abstract fits the topic of the issue in terms of content.*			
Practical implementation: The practical benefits of the presented teaching/learning scenario are specified in the abstract.			
Aim: The learning outcome is clearly specified in the abstract and understandable.			
Best-practice example: The example at hand is ✔ innovative, ✔ theory-based, didactically sound, and ✔ implemented in a specific teaching context.			
Language: The language of the abstract meets general academic standards.			
Additional comments: Abstract № …:			
Total score:**			

*Veto-criterion: If this criterion has been awarded between 0 and 1.33 points on average, the submitted abstract or contribution will not be accepted.

**Each abstract is anonymously assessed (blind peer review) by three reviewers. The threshold level for accepting the abstract is 70%, i.e., the overall average score must total 7 points.