

BP=Best-practice contribution № :

Assessor's code:

Abstract/s taken over on:

Please, assess whether the following criteria are fulfilled: *The criterion is met (2) / is partially met (1) / is not met (0)*

The criterion is met (2) / partially met (1) / not met (0)		Abstract №	Abstract №	Abstract №
Topic: The abstract fits the topic of the issue in terms of content.*				
Practical implementation: The practical benefits of the presented teaching / learning scenario are made clear in the abstract.				
Aim: The learning outcome is clearly specified in the abstract and understandable.				
Best-practice example: The example at hand is <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ innovative, ✓ theory-based, didactically sound and ✓ implemented in a specific teaching context. 				
Language: The language of the abstract meets general academic standards.				
Additional comments:	Abstract № ...:			
Total score:**				

*Veto-criterion: If this criterion has been awarded between 0 and 1.33 points on average, the submitted abstract or contribution will not be accepted.

**Each abstract is anonymously assessed (blind peer review) by three reviewers. The threshold level for the acceptance of the abstract is 70%, i.e. the overall average score must amount to 7 points in total.