

## General information on the peer review process

To ensure the quality of the scientific papers, they will be reviewed by three experts in a double-blind peer review process. The academic reviews will be conducted by the members of the editorial board and external subject- and language-specific reviewers, respectively. Other texts to be published in the journal such as conference reports, book reviews, etc. will be reviewed by two people only.

Overall procedure: The reviewers receive the anonymised paper that is to be assessed according to the given criteria (see assessment grid). After that, the blind peer review is submitted to the editors in-chief who then return it to the author/s for revision. If the paper is awarded B2 or B3, that means that it can or needs to be revised by the author/s. The author/s are required to send the revised paper back to the editors-in-chief within three weeks (see timeline). The revised paper is then again reviewed by the editorial team. If the revised paper meets the criteria (a. to f. (and g.) see assessment grid), it will be published in *DiSlaw*.